# A NOTE ON $A_p$ WEIGHTS: PASTING WEIGHTS AND CHANGING VARIABLES

## MARIO PÉREZ RIERA

ABSTRACT. For two weights u, w on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we show that  $w \in A_{p,u}$ (the Muckenhoupt class of weights) if and only if  $wu \in A_p$  and  $wu^{1-p} \in A_p$ , under the assumption that  $u \in A_r$  for every r > 1. We also prove a rather general result on pasting weights on  $\mathbb{R}$  that satisfy the  $A_p$  condition.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

 $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  weights (see below for an intrinsic definition) were introduced by Muckenhoupt [8]. They are exactly those weight functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ for which the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

(1) 
$$Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |f(y)| \, dy$$

is bounded on  $L^p(w)$ . Here, the supremum is taken over all the cubes  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  containing x and |Q| denotes the Lebesgue measure of Q.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B25; Secondary 26D07, 42C10.

Key words and phrases.  $A_p$  weights, paste, change of variables, maximal operators, Fourier series.

## MARIO PÉREZ RIERA

When another (doubling) measure  $\mu$  replaces the Lebesgue measure in the definition of the maximal function, then the corresponding  $A_{p,\mu}$ weights play the same role (see [1]).

To be precise, let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , 1and let <math>p' be the conjugate exponent: 1/p + 1/p' = 1. If  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $A_{p,\mu}(\Omega)$  denotes the class of weights (i.e.,  $\mu$ -measurable, nonnegative functions defined on  $\Omega$ ) satisfying Muckenhoupt's condition: there exists some positive constant C such that

$$\int_Q w \, d\mu \left( \int_Q w^{-p'/p} \, d\mu \right)^{p/p'} \le C \mu(Q)^p$$

for every cube  $Q \subseteq \Omega$ . We will write  $A_{p,\mu}(\Omega, w)$  for the least constant C.

We write  $A_{p,u}(\Omega)$  if  $d\mu(x) = u(x) dx$ , and  $A_p(\Omega)$  if  $u \equiv 1$ , i.e.,  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega$ . We omit  $\Omega$  if there is no ambiguity.

The  $A_p(\mathbb{R})$  classes also characterize the boundedness of the Hilbert transform on  $L^p(w)$ , see [4]. The same applies, for instance, to  $A_p([0, 2\pi])$ weights and Fourier series, or  $A_p([-1, 1])$  weights and Fourier expansions in Chebyshev polynomials (actually, Fourier series on  $[0, 2\pi]$  and Fourier expansions in Chebyshev polynomials are closely related via a change of variable). In general, the  $A_p$  condition is sufficient for the boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators and, in some sense, it is also necessary. We refer the reader to [2, 1] for further details on these topics.

In this context, the relation between different  $A_p$  classes is certainly interesting. We refer, for instance, to the relation between "weighted" and "unweighted" clases, i.e.,  $A_{p,u}$  and  $A_p$ . In section 2, we state a result of this type and give some illustrating example; in section 3 we give a very simple proof. In particular, some results of Johnson and Neugebauer [5, 6] follow, relating the  $A_p$  conditions for a weight w on  $\mathbb{R}$  and the weight  $w \circ h$ , where h is a given change of variable.

A different, yet also interesting question is the construction of examples of  $A_p$  weights. Here, the simplest case is  $w(x) = |x|^a$ , which belongs to  $A_p([0, 1])$  if and only if -1 < a < p - 1. Indeed, this can be checked by simply computing the integrals in the  $A_p$  condition. The same holds if we replace [0, 1] by  $[0, \infty)$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . Obviously, the same characterization remains true for power weights  $w(x) = |x - b|^a$ , but the computations are not so straightforward in the case of

$$w(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} |x - t_j|^{a_j}$$

which can be considered essentially as the result of pasting simple power weights, in the sense that w behaves like  $|x - t_j|^{a_j}$  near  $t_j$ . A contribution on this subject was made by Schröder [10]: if  $w \in A_p((a, 0])$ ,  $w \in A_p([0, b))$  and

(2) 
$$0 < \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\int_0^\varepsilon w(x) \, dx}{\int_{-\varepsilon}^0 w(x) \, dx} \le \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\int_0^\varepsilon w(x) \, dx}{\int_{-\varepsilon}^0 w(x) \, dx} < \infty,$$

then  $w \in A_p((a, b))$ . In section 4 we give an elementary proof that under some mild conditions we can paste  $A_p$  weights so as to obtain another  $A_p$  weight.

## 2. Change of variables

**Proposition 1.** Let u, w be two weights on  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , 1 .Then,

$$wu \in A_p, \ wu^{1-p} \in A_p \Longrightarrow w \in A_{p,u}.$$

Remark 1. Actually, we will prove that that  $A_{p,u}(w) \leq A_p(wu)A_p(wu^{1-p})$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let u, w be two weights on  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , 1 . $Assume that <math>u \in \bigcap_{r>1} A_r$ . Then,

$$w \in A_{p,u} \Longrightarrow wu \in A_p, \ wu^{1-p} \in A_p.$$

Remark 2. It follows from the proof that

$$A_{p}(wu) \leq A_{r}(u)^{\lambda p/(p'\delta')} A_{p,u}(w^{\delta})^{1/\delta}, \qquad \lambda = p'\delta' - 1, \ r = 1 + 1/\lambda;$$
$$A_{p}(wu^{1-p}) \leq A_{r}(u)^{\lambda/\delta'} A_{p,u}(w^{\delta})^{1/\delta}, \qquad \lambda = p\delta' - 1, \ r = 1 + 1/\lambda;$$

here,  $\delta > 1$  is such that  $w^{\delta} \in A_{p,u}$ .

Remark 3. The assumption that  $u \in \bigcap_{r>1} A_r$  in Proposition 2 is necessary in the following sense: let u be a weight on  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , take some  $1 and suppose that <math>wu \in A_p$  for every  $w \in A_{p,u}$ . Then,  $u \in \bigcap_{r>1} A_r$ . Indeed, if M is the (unweighted) Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator (1), we have

$$\int |Mf(x)|^p w(x)u(x) \, dx \le C \int |f(x)|^p w(x)u(x) \, dx, \qquad \forall w \in A_{p,u}$$

(since  $wu \in A_p$ ). Then, Rubio de Francia's extrapolation theorem [9, Theorem 3] gives

$$\int |Mf(x)|^r w(x)u(x) \, dx \le C \int |f(x)|^r w(x)u(x) \, dx, \qquad \forall w \in A_{r,u}$$

for every  $1 < r < \infty$ . Taking  $w \equiv 1$  yields  $u \in A_r$ .

**Corollary 3** (change of variable). Let  $\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2$  be intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , h:  $\Omega_1 \longrightarrow \Omega_2$  bijective and absolutely continuous, and let  $h^{-1}$  be its inverse function. Let w be a weight on  $\Omega_1$ , 1 .

a) If 
$$w|h'| \in A_p(\Omega_1)$$
 and  $w|h'|^{1-p} \in A_p(\Omega_1)$ , then  $w \circ h^{-1} \in A_p(\Omega_2)$ 

b) Assume that  $|h'| \in \bigcap_{r>1} A_r(\Omega_1)$ . If  $w \circ h^{-1} \in A_p(\Omega_2)$ , then  $w|h'| \in A_p(\Omega_1)$  and  $w|h'|^{1-p} \in A_p(\Omega_1)$ .

Proof of the corollary. Taking into account that h transforms intervals into intervals, it is straightforward to check that  $w \circ h^{-1} \in A_p$  if and

#### MARIO PÉREZ RIERA

only if  $w \in A_{p,|h'|}$ . We only need to take u = |h'| in propositions 1 and 2.

Remark 4. If  $w_1, w_2 \in A_p$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , then  $w_1^{\lambda} w_2^{1-\lambda} \in A_p$ , by Hölder's inequality. Hence, under the hypothesis of Proposition 2,  $wu^{\alpha} \in A_p$  for  $1 - p \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . In terms of a change of variable in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have as a corollary:

$$v \in A_p(\Omega_2) \Longrightarrow (v \circ h) \cdot |h'|^{\alpha} \in A_p(\Omega_1), \qquad 1 - p \le \alpha \le 1.$$

This result was proved by Johnson and Neugebauer in [5, Theorem 2.7] (for the case  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ ) and [6, Corollaries 3.1 and 3.4] (on the full range  $1 - p \le \alpha \le 1$ ). In fact, our proof of Proposition 2 and the discussion on the necessity of  $u \in \bigcap_{r>1} A_r$  are a simplified version of the proof of [5, Theorem 2.7]. Also, we must remark that in the case n = 1 our Proposition 2 could be deduced from [6, Corollaries 3.1 and 3.4], since for each weight function u on  $\mathbb{R}$  there is some h with u = |h'|.

**Example** (maximal operator of Fourier-Jacobi series). Let us take  $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$  and consider the Fourier-Jacobi series associated to the measure  $d\mu^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} dx$ . In other words, this is the Fourier expansion associated to the Jacobi polynomials of order  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , which are orthogonal on (-1, 1) with respect to  $\mu^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ . Let us write  $\mu'(x) = (1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}$ , let u be a weight on (-1,1)and take

$$w(t) = u(\cos t)(1 - \cos t)^{(2-p)(2\alpha+1)/4}(1 + \cos t)^{(2-p)(2\beta+1)/4}.$$

Following some results of J. E. Gilbert, it was proved in [3] that under condition  $w \in A_p((0,\pi))$  the maximal operator  $S^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  of the Fourier-Jacobi series is bounded on  $L^p(ud\mu^{(\alpha,\beta)})$ . Now, we can traslate this  $A_p$ condition into the interval (-1,1): apply Corollary 3 to the weight

$$V(x) = u(x)(1-x)^{(2-p)(2\alpha+1)/4}(1+x)^{(2-p)(2\beta+1)/4},$$

with  $h(x) = \arccos x$ ,  $h : (-1, 1) \longrightarrow (0, \pi)$ . A direct proof that  $|h'(x)| = (1 - x^2)^{-1/2}$  satisfies the  $A_r$  hypothesis can be given, but either Schröder's result or our Proposition 4 below can be successfully used, as well. Then, Corollary 3 yields

$$w \in A_p(0,\pi) \iff u(x)(1-x^2)^{\pm p/4}(\mu')^{1-p/2} \in A_p(-1,1).$$

Thus, the two  $A_p$  conditions on the right are sufficient for the boundedness of the maximal operator  $S^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ . Actually, they are also necessary even for the uniform boundedness of the Fourier-Jacobi series, at least for power-like weights (see [7]).

## MARIO PÉREZ RIERA

## 3. Proof of Propositions 1 and 2

Proof of Proposition 1. Let Q be a cube,  $Q\subseteq \Omega.$  By the hypothesis,

$$\int_{Q} wu \left( \int_{Q} w^{-p'/p} u^{-p'/p} \right)^{p/p'} \le A_{p}(wu) |Q|^{p},$$
$$\int_{Q} wu^{1-p} \left( \int_{Q} w^{-p'/p} u \right)^{p/p'} \le A_{p}(wu^{1-p}) |Q|^{p},$$

where |Q| is the Lebesgue measure of Q. Let  $C = A_p(wu)A_p(wu^{1-p})$ . It follows that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{Q} wu \left( \int_{Q} w^{-p'/p} u \right)^{p/p'} \\ &\leq C |Q|^{2p} \left( \int_{Q} w^{-p'/p} u^{-p'/p} \right)^{-p/p'} \left( \int_{Q} wu^{1-p} \right)^{-1} \\ &= C \left( \int_{Q} u \right)^{p} \left[ \frac{|Q|}{\left( \int_{Q} u \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{Q} w^{-p'/p} u^{-p'/p} \right)^{1/2p'} \left( \int_{Q} wu^{-p/p'} \right)^{1/2p}} \right]^{2p} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{Q} u \right)^{p}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from the three function Hölder's inequality applied to

$$1 = u^{1/2} \cdot [w^{-p'/p}u^{-p'/p}]^{1/2p'} \cdot [wu^{-p/p'}]^{1/2p}.$$

Proof of Proposition 2. Since  $u \in \bigcap_{r>1} A_r$ , for each r > 1 and each cube Q we have

$$\int_Q u \left( \int_Q u^{-1/(r-1)} \right)^{r-1} \le A_r(u) |Q|^r.$$

Let us take  $\lambda = 1/(r-1)$ , that is:  $r = 1 + 1/\lambda$ ; for each  $\lambda > 0$  we have

(3) 
$$\left(\int_{Q} u\right)^{\lambda} \int_{Q} u^{-\lambda} \leq A_{r}(u)^{\lambda} |Q|^{\lambda+1}.$$

a) Let us prove that  $wu \in A_p$ . Let  $\delta > 1$  be such that  $w^{\delta} \in A_{p,u}$ (see [1, 2]). Take  $1/\delta + 1/\delta' = 1$ . Let Q be any cube contained in  $\Omega$ . By Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_{Q} wu \leq \left( \int_{Q} w^{\delta} u \right)^{1/\delta} \left( \int_{Q} u \right)^{1/\delta'},$$
$$\int_{Q} w^{-p'/p} u^{-p'/p} = \int_{Q} w^{-p'/p} u^{-p'} u \leq \left( \int_{Q} w^{-p'\delta/p} u \right)^{1/\delta} \left( \int_{Q} u^{1-p'\delta'} \right)^{1/\delta'}$$

Taking this into account and the fact that  $w^{\delta} \in A_{p,u}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q} wu \left( \int_{Q} w^{-p'/p} u^{-p'/p} \right)^{p/p'} \\ &\leq \left[ \int_{Q} w^{\delta} u \left( \int_{Q} (w^{\delta})^{-p'/p} u \right)^{p/p'} \right]^{1/\delta} \left( \int_{Q} u \right)^{1/\delta'} \left( \int_{Q} u^{1-p'\delta'} \right)^{p/(p'\delta')} \\ &\leq A_{p,u} (w^{\delta})^{1/\delta} \left( \int_{Q} u \right)^{p/\delta+1/\delta'} \left( \int_{Q} u^{1-p'\delta'} \right)^{p/(p'\delta')} \\ &= A_{p,u} (w^{\delta})^{1/\delta} \left[ \left( \int_{Q} u \right)^{p'\delta'-1} \int_{Q} u^{1-p'\delta'} \right]^{p/(p'\delta')} \\ &\leq A_{p,u} (w^{\delta})^{1/\delta} A_{r} (u)^{\lambda p/(p'\delta')} |Q|^{p}, \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality we use (3) with  $\lambda = p'\delta' - 1$  and for the previous step

$$(p'\delta'-1)\frac{p}{p'\delta'} = p - \frac{p}{p'\delta'} = \frac{p}{\delta} + \frac{p}{\delta'}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p'}\right) = \frac{p}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta'}.$$

b) Let us now prove that  $wu^{1-p} \in A_p$ . Part (a) can be conveniently modified so as to get a direct proof. Alternatively, the elementary fact that for any  $v, \mu, 1 < s < \infty$ 

$$v \in A_{s,\mu} \iff v^{-s'/s} \in A_{s',\mu}$$

with  $A_{s',\mu}(v^{-s'/s}) = A_{s,\mu}(v)^{s'/s}$ , together with part (a) gives

$$w \in A_{p,u} \iff w^{-p'/p} \in A_{p',u} \Longrightarrow w^{-p'/p}u \in A_{p'} \iff wu^{1-p} \in A_p,$$

and the appropriate relation for the  $A_p$  constants follows as well.  $\Box$ 

# 4. Pasting $A_p$ weights

In this section n = 1, i.e.,  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and we deal with weights defined on a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Remark 5. Assume that J is an interval,  $\mu(J) < \infty$ ,  $w \in A_{p,\mu}(J)$  and  $w \neq 0$ , i.e., w is not ( $\mu$  almost everywhere) the null weight on J. Then,

$$\int_A w \, d\mu > 0$$

for every measurable subset  $A \subseteq J$  of positive measure, since otherwise we would have w = 0  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on A,

$$\int_J w^{-p'/p} \, d\mu = +\infty,$$

and the  $A_{p,\mu}$  condition on the whole interval J would yield  $w \equiv 0$  on J.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open interval on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu$  a Borel measure on  $\Omega$  with supp  $\mu = \Omega$ , and w a weight on  $\Omega$ . Assume that there exist some open intervals  $J_0, J_1, \ldots, J_N$  such that

- (a)  $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=0}^{N} J_k;$
- (b)  $J_0, J_1, \ldots, J_{N-1}$  have finite measure;
- (c)  $w \in A_{p,\mu}(J_k)$ , for every k = 0, 1, ..., N;
- (d)  $w \not\equiv 0$  on  $J_k$ , for every  $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ .

Then,  $w \in A_{p,\mu}(\Omega)$ .

Remark 6. Obviously, the intervals  $J_k$  cannot be disjoint, rather they overlap. But the notation  $J_0, J_1, \ldots, J_N$  means no particular order. Regarding condition (d), it makes the proof easier at some point, but actually it is not necessary. Indeed, if we take Remark 5 into account and the fact that the  $J_k$  overlap, omitting condition (d) essentially leads to the following situation:

$$\Omega = J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3,$$

$$J_1 = (a, b), \qquad J_2 = (b - \delta, c + \delta), \qquad J_3 = (c, d),$$

$$w \equiv 0 \text{ on } J_1 \cup J_3, \qquad w \in A_{p,\mu}(J_2),$$

$$\mu((b, b + \varepsilon)) = \infty, \ \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

$$\mu((c - \varepsilon, c)) = \infty, \ \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

It is then immediate that  $w \in A_{p,\mu}(\Omega)$ .

Remark 7. If  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on an interval  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , then condition (b) yields  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}$ . This condition cannot be just omitted, as the following example shows: consider

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} (1+x)^a, & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ \\ (1-x)^b, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

with -1 < a < p-1, -1 < b < p-1 and a < b. It is easy to check that  $w \in A_p((-1/2, \infty))$  and  $w \in A_p((-\infty, 1/2))$ . However,  $w \notin A_p(\mathbb{R})$ : for the interval I = (-n, n), easy computations yield

$$\int_{I} w \sim n^{1+b},$$

$$\int_{I} w^{-p'/p} \sim n^{1-a/(p-1)}$$

so that

$$\int_{I} w \left( \int_{I} w^{-p'/p} \right)^{p/p'} \sim n^{p+b-a}$$

and the  $A_p$  condition fails.

Remark 8. Proposition 4 implies Schröder's result, since under condition (2) it follows that  $w \in A_p((a, \varepsilon))$  and  $w \in A_p((-\varepsilon, b))$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Proof of Proposition 4. Let I be a nonempty interval,  $I \subseteq \Omega$ . We must prove that there is some constant C, independent of I, such that

(4) 
$$\int_{I} w \, d\mu \left( \int_{I} w^{-p'/p} \, d\mu \right)^{p/p'} \le C\mu(I)^{p}.$$

If  $I \subseteq J_k$  for some k, we are done, by hypothesis (obviously, a common constant can be chosen for all the  $A_{p,\mu}$  conditions). We can therefore suppose now that for every  $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ ,  $I \nsubseteq J_k$ . There must be some  $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$  such that

$$I \subseteq \bigcup_{k=0}^{m} J_k, \qquad I \nsubseteq \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} J_k.$$

Now, let us show that (4) holds with some constant which depends on m, but not on I (then, the biggest constant will work for every interval). We claim that

(5) 
$$\int_{I} w \, d\mu \le C \int_{I \cap J_m} w \, d\mu$$

and

(6) 
$$\int_{I} w^{-p'/p} d\mu \le C \int_{I \cap J_m} w^{-p'/p} d\mu,$$

with some constants depending on m, but not on I. If this is true (it will be proved below), then our result follows immediately:

$$\int_{I} w \, d\mu \left( \int_{I} w^{-p'/p} \, d\mu \right)^{p/p'} \leq C \int_{I \cap J_m} w \, d\mu \left( \int_{I \cap J_m} w^{-p'/p} \, d\mu \right)^{p/p'}$$
$$\leq C |I \cap J_m|^p$$
$$\leq C |I|^p,$$

where in the second inequality we use that  $w \in A_{p,\mu}(J_m)$  and at each occurrence C denotes a different constant which depends only on m.

Thus, only (5) and (6) remain to be proved. Now, for every  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ ,

(7) 
$$\int_{I\cap J_k} w \, d\mu \le \int_{J_k} w \, d\mu < \infty.$$

The fact that the second integral is finite follows from the hypothesis that  $w \in A_{p,\mu}(J_k)$  when applied to the whole  $J_k$ , which has finite measure.

On the other hand, since I and the  $J_k$  are intervals and

$$I \subseteq \bigcup_{k=0}^{m} J_k, \qquad I \nsubseteq \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} J_k, \qquad I \nsubseteq J_m,$$

it follows that there is some  $n \leq m-1$  with  $\emptyset \neq J_n \cap J_m \subseteq I \cap J_m$ . Then,

(8) 
$$\int_{I\cap J_m} w \, d\mu \ge \int_{J_n\cap J_m} w \, d\mu > 0.$$

The fact that the second integral cannot vanish follows from Remark 5 (with  $J = J_n$ ), together with the trivial property that every open interval contained in  $\Omega = \operatorname{supp} \mu$  has positive measure. Let us take

$$C_m = \min\left\{\int_{J_n \cap J_m} w \, d\mu : \emptyset \neq J_n \cap J_m\right\}.$$

Then (7) and (8) yield

$$\int_{I \cap J_k} w \, d\mu \le \frac{\int_{J_k} w \, d\mu}{C_m} \int_{I \cap J_m} w \, d\mu.$$

Summing up in  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1$ , we obtain

$$\int_{I} w \, d\mu \leq \int_{I \cap J_m} w \, d\mu + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \int_{I \cap J_k} w \, d\mu \leq C \int_{I \cap J_m} w \, d\mu,$$

where

$$C = 1 + \frac{1}{C_m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \int_{J_k} w \, d\mu.$$

This proves inequality (5). For the proof of (6), just replace w by  $w^{-p'/p}$ .

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by the Dirección General de Investigación and Universidad de La Rioja (Spain)

## References

 A. P. Calderón, Inequalities for the maximal function relative to a metric, Studia Math. 57 (1976), 297–306.

- [2] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, North-Holland Math. Studies vol. 116 (ed. L. Nachbin), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [3] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Varona, Two notes on convergence and divergence a.e. of Fourier series with respect to some orthogonal series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992), 457–464.
- [4] R. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176 (1973), 227–251.
- [5] R. Johnson and C. J. Neugebauer, *Homeomorphisms preserving A<sub>p</sub>*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 3 (1987), 249–273.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_ Change of variable results for A<sub>p</sub>- and reverse Hölder RH<sub>r</sub>-classes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **328** (1991), 639–666.
- [7] B. Muckenhoupt, Mean convergence of Jacobi series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
  23 (1969), 306–310.
- [8] Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans.
   Amer. Math. Soc. 165, (1972), 207–226.
- [9] J. L. Rubio de Francia, Factorization theory and A<sub>p</sub> weights, Amer. J. Math.
   106, (1984), 533–547.
- [10] B. S. W. Schröder, On pasting A<sub>p</sub>-weights, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996),
   3339–3344.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, 50009 ZARA-GOZA, SPAIN

E-mail address: mperez@posta.unizar.es